United States Patent and Trademark Office UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov JUL 1 8 2008 ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR 2849 10/605,850 Jose De Sousa 05/17/2004 29496 7590 06/30/2008 **EXAMINER** J.D. DESOUSA CASTRO, ALFONSO 780 SILVER OAKS PLACE WALNUT CREEK, CA 94596 PAPER NUMBER **ART UNIT** 2623 MAIL DATE **DELIVERY MODE** 06/30/2008 **PAPER**

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		App	lication No.	Applicant(s)	,,	
		10/6	605,850	DE SOUSA, JOS	E	
	Office Action Summary	Exar	niner	Art Unit	·	
		ALFO	ONSO CASTRO	2623		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation appears o	n the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence a	ddress	
WHIC - External after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAINSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community period for reply is specified above, the maximum state re to reply within the set or extended period for reply we reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ALING DATE Of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In nication. utory period will apply rill, by statute, cause t	F THIS COMMUNIC no event, however, may a r and will expire SIX (6) MON he application to become AB	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this of the same	•	
Status	•					
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed	l on <i>17 May 20</i> 6	04.			
,	•	b) X This action				
3)	Since this application is in condition for	or allowance ex	cept for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to th	e merits is	
	closed in accordance with the practice	e under <i>Ex par</i> t	e Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)🛛	Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the applic	cation.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	e withdrawn from	m consideration.			
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)🛛	Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected.			•		
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		,			
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ion and/or elect	ion requirement.			
Applicat	ion Papers				•	
9)🛛	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted	or b)□ objected to	by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any object	ion to the drawin	g(s) be held in abeyar	ice. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
				•		
Attachmen	t(s)			•		
´ ===	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		, —	Summary (PTO-413)		
· ==	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Dther:						

Drawings

1. The subject matter of this application admits of illustration by a drawing to facilitate understanding of the invention. Applicant is required to furnish a drawing under 37 CFR 1.81(c). No new matter may be introduced in the required drawing. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d).

Specification

2. Applicant is reminded of the proper content of an abstract of the disclosure.

A patent abstract is a concise statement of the technical disclosure of the patent and should include that which is new in the art to which the invention pertains. If the patent is of a basic nature, the entire technical disclosure may be new in the art, and the abstract should be directed to the entire disclosure. If the patent is in the nature of an improvement in an old apparatus, process, product, or composition, the abstract should include the technical disclosure of the improvement. In certain patents, particularly those for compounds and compositions, wherein the process for making and/or the use thereof are not obvious, the abstract should set forth a process for making and/or use thereof. If the new technical disclosure involves modifications or alternatives, the abstract should mention by way of example the preferred modification or alternative.

The abstract should not refer to purported merits or speculative applications of the invention and should not compare the invention with the prior art.

Where applicable, the abstract should include the following:

- (1) if a machine or apparatus, its organization and operation;
- (2) if an article, its method of making;
- (3) if a chemical compound, its identity and use;
- (4) if a mixture, its ingredients;
- (5) if a process, the steps.

Extensive mechanical and design details of apparatus should not be given.

3. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

Art Unit: 2623

Page 3

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because if applicant's application for patent is an article, then the abstract must contain its method of making or if a process, then steps. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) THE NAMES OF THE PARTIES TO A JOINT RESEARCH AGREEMENT.
- (e) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC.
- (f) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.
 - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (g) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (h) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (i) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (j) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).

Art Unit: 2623

Page 4

(I) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

Content of Specification

- (a) <u>Title of the Invention</u>: See 37 CFR 1.72(a) and MPEP § 606. The title of the invention should be placed at the top of the first page of the specification unless the title is provided in an application data sheet. The title of the invention should be brief but technically accurate and descriptive, preferably from two to seven words may not contain more than 500 characters.
- (b) <u>Cross-References to Related Applications</u>: See 37 CFR 1.78 and MPEP § 201.11.
- (c) <u>Statement Regarding Federally Sponsored Research and Development:</u> See MPEP § 310.
- (d) The Names Of The Parties To A Joint Research Agreement: See 37 CFR 1.71(g).
- (e) Incorporation-By-Reference Of Material Submitted On a Compact Disc: The specification is required to include an incorporation-by-reference of electronic documents that are to become part of the permanent United States Patent and Trademark Office records in the file of a patent application. See 37 CFR 1.52(e) and MPEP § 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text were permitted as electronic documents on compact discs beginning on September 8, 2000.
- (f) <u>Background of the Invention</u>: See MPEP § 608.01(c). The specification should set forth the Background of the Invention in two parts:
 - (1) <u>Field of the Invention</u>: A statement of the field of art to which the invention pertains. This statement may include a paraphrasing of the applicable U.S. patent classification definitions of the subject matter of the claimed invention. This item may also be titled "Technical Field."
 - (2) <u>Description of the Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 37 CFR 1.98</u>: A description of the related art

known to the applicant and including, if applicable, references to specific related art and problems involved in the prior art which are solved by the applicant's invention. This item may also be titled "Background Art."

- g) Brief Summary of the Invention: See MPEP § 608.01(d). A brief summary or general statement of the invention as set forth in 37 CFR 1.73. The summary is separate and distinct from the abstract and is directed toward the invention rather than the disclosure as a whole. The summary may point out the advantages of the invention or how it solves problems previously existent in the prior art (and preferably indicated in the Background of the Invention). In chemical cases it should point out in general terms the utility of the invention. If possible, the nature and gist of the invention or the inventive concept should be set forth. Objects of the invention should be treated briefly and only to the extent that they contribute to an understanding of the invention.
- (h) <u>Brief Description of the Several Views of the Drawing(s)</u>: See MPEP § 608.01(f). A reference to and brief description of the drawing(s) as set forth in 37 CFR 1.74.
- (i) Detailed Description of the Invention: See MPEP § 608.01(g). A description of the preferred embodiment(s) of the invention as required in 37 CFR 1.71. The description should be as short and specific as is necessary to describe the invention adequately and accurately. Where elements or groups of elements, compounds, and processes, which are conventional and generally widely known in the field of the invention described and their exact nature or type is not necessary for an understanding and use of the invention by a person skilled in the art, they should not be described in detail. However, where particularly complicated subject matter is involved or where the elements, compounds, or processes may not be commonly or widely known in the field, the specification should refer to another patent or readily available publication which adequately describes the subject matter.
- (j) Claim or Claims: See 37 CFR 1.75 and MPEP § 608.01(m). The claim or claims must commence on separate sheet or electronic page (37 CFR 1.52(b)(3)). Where a claim sets forth a plurality of elements or steps, each element or step of the claim should be separated by a line indentation. There may be plural indentations to further segregate subcombinations or related steps. See 37 CFR 1.75 and MPEP § 608.01(i)-(p).
- (k) Abstract of the Disclosure: See MPEP § 608.01(f). A brief narrative of the disclosure as a whole in a single paragraph of 150 words or less commencing on a separate sheet following the claims. In an international

Art Unit: 2623

application which has entered the national stage (37 CFR 1.491(b)), the applicant need not submit an abstract commencing on a separate sheet if an abstract was published with the international application under PCT Article 21. The abstract that appears on the cover page of the pamphlet published by the International Bureau (IB) of the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) is the abstract that will be used by the USPTO. See MPEP § 1893.03(e).

- (I) Sequence Listing, See 37 CFR 1.821-1.825 and MPEP §§ 2421-2431. The requirement for a sequence listing applies to all sequences disclosed in a given application, whether the sequences are claimed or not. See MPEP § 2421.02.
- 4. The spacing of the lines of the specification is such as to make reading difficult. New application papers with lines 1½ or double spaced on good quality paper are required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 1 additionally fails to define the invention in the manner required by 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. The claim(s) are narrative in form and replete with indefinite and functional or operational language. The structure which goes to make up the device must be clearly and positively specified. The structure must be organized and correlated in such a manner as to present a complete operative device. The claim(s) must be in one sentence form only. Note the format of the claims in the patent(s) cited.

Regarding claim 1, the phrase "for example" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitation(s) following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. Description of examples or preferences is properly set forth in the specification rather See MPEP § 2173.05(d). Therefore, the language in claim 1 than the claims. "Example: News from Portugal, South Africa, Canada, Australia, Netherlands, Brazil. Mexico, China, etc. is transmitted live to a single media channel and then rebroadcast. A viewer in the U.S.A. can view news from S.A.B.C.--South Africa in real time and then after that view R.T.P. news from Portugal, etc. News reports reported on the hour from around the world news broadcasting media With different time zones around this will permit for reporting different news reports in the countries local time. Therefore allowing WNN to be 24 hours, there are many countries and their fore their will be more than one WNN example WNN-1 will have 24 country national news then the next 24 countries will be on WNN-2. Politician around the world can watch the local news of said country. Ex-citizens can also watch their old home country news. People in one particular country can now also learn from other countries. Similar the same can be for local city broadcasting station across a nation such as the U.S.A." has not been treated as part of Claim 1 limitation.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hayward et al PG Pub 2005/0193341 (hereafter referred to as Hayward) in view of Harvey et al. US Patent 6,226,030 (hereafter Harvey).
- 9. Regarding Claim 1, "a live broadcasting channel which groups real time news reports from broadcasting media from around the world, which is then transmitted on a single live media channel" reads on Hayward (Abstract and page 2, 0027 – aggregates broadcast-standard content comprising news events, news products and news services; page 5, Col. 1, 0059, lines 4 -- information is made available live; Figure 1B discloses information is available from different parts of the world, i.e. London and NYC; page 1, 002 and page 6, 0074 disclose making content available on a single platform such as a LAN or telecommunications link understood to support broadcasting, multicasting or Hayward, page 1, 002 and page 6, 0074, discloses making content unicasting). available on a single platform such as a LAN or telecommunications link but does not specifically refer to making the availability of the content on a single channel. Harvey, Col. 1, lines 58-67, describes a method of distributing live video broadcasts received by a server to be distributed to client computers. Harvey further discloses the system could receive several live general news channels and then make all related content

Art Unit: 2623

available on a specific channel. It would be obvious to one or ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to incorporate the teachings of Hayward of a broadcasting system that supports broadcasting, multicasting or unicasting to transmit the aggregated news content on a single broadcast channel with the teachings of Harvey to make all news content available on one specific broadcasting channel.

"live news media is grouped on a single media broadcasting station such as radio, television, etc., broadcasted live around the world" reads on Hayward (Abstract and page 2, 0027 – aggregates broadcast-standard content comprising news events, news products and news services; page 2, 0029 – broadcast news community can include television and radio; page 5, Col. 1, 0059, lines 4 — information is made available live; Figure 1B discloses information is available from different parts of the world, i.e. London and NYC). Hayward, page 1, 002 and page 6, 0074, discloses making content available on a single platform such as a LAN or telecommunications link. It would be obvious to one or ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to incorporate the teachings of Hayward of a broadcasting system that supports broadcasting, multicasting or unicasting to transmit the aggregated news content on a single broadcast channel).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ALFONSO CASTRO whose telephone number is (571)270-3950. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday (8am to 5pm EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Koenig can be reached on 571-272-7296. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ac 06/22/08

/Andrew Y Koenig/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2623

Notice of References Cited Application/Control No. 10/605,850 DE SOUSA, JOSE Examiner ALFONSO CASTRO U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination DE SOUSA, JOSE Art Unit Page 1 of 1

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Name	Classification
*	Α	US-2005/0193341	09-2005	Hayward et al.	715/716
*	В	US-6,226,030	05-2001	Harvey et al.	725/9
	C	US-			
	۵	US-			
	E	US-			
i	F	US-	·		
	G	US-			
	Н	US-			
	-	US-	•		
	7	US-			
	K	US-			
	L	US-			
	М	US-			

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name	Classification
	N				•	
	0					
	Р				•	
	Q					
	R					
	S				<u> </u>	
	Т		•			

NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)
	υ	
	>	
	×	

*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).) Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.

Organization | L. LOLU Bldg/Room Unjuddig Bujted Hitten | Hitch | Hitten |

P.O. Box 1450

If Undeliverable Return in Ten Days Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

OFFICIAL BUSINESS
PENALTY FOR PRIVATE USE, \$300

MAILED FROM 21P CODE NE CONTROLL OF THE STORY OF THE 02 1M 0004244975

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER

--3512 NIXIE

30/11/10

8

ATTEMPTED-NOT KNOWN UNIABLE TO FORWING RETURN TO SENDER



